G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03

(97)

File No. 483-02

Serial Nos. 1 — 6

Papers Filed.

P 17 (e)

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Sardar ... the Parliamentary Secretary, Revenue Dept

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Draft.

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.

Read:

Letter No. 50 dy 19.7.02,
from the Dy Comr, Tumkur Dt.
Soliciting Sanction to the
entertainment of a Sowdi
on Rs 6/- per mensem, to the
Sankanpur Amani, Kunigal
Taluk.

as recommended
by the Chief Engineer
in Mysore,

Order thereon

Sanctioned

Please submit
for the orders of
the Diwan.

29/8/02

Submitted to the Dewan

Hrm
5/8

5551
R 26.8.02

M 31/7/02 Secretary.

M 5/8/02

To.
The Deputy Comr, Tumkur Dt.
The Chief Engineer in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore.

1646
Please furnish
our remarks with
reference to the
remarks & come
from — Do this in
future hereafter

Hrm
25/8

early

R 23. VIII. 02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

‡ **Subject.**

Appointment of a Sowdi to the Sankanpur Anicut, Kunigal Taluk.

(Letter no: 2787 of 29.10.02 from D.C. Tumkur)

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

* **Note.**

The Executive Engineer, Tumkur Divn. inspected the Sankanpur Anicut towards its completion, and proposed that, as the anicut which was intended to feed seven tanks, could not be entrusted to the management of a patel of any particular tank in preference to another, and as furthermore it was situated far away from the tanks it was to feed, a permanent peon or Sowdi on Rs 6 per mensem should be located there to work the Sluices.

The Superintending Engineer Eastern circle and the Chief Engineer of Mysore endorsed the proposal favourably; and the Deputy Commr, Tumkur Dist, solicites the sanction of Govt to the proposed appointment

3

He was asked to point out the head in the budget from which the money was to come. He reports that no provision is made in the current year's budget to meet the expenditure, and proposes that the cost may be debited to 'General Savings'.

Submitted for orders.

The Cost would be about 42 Rs. This year. VL 15/11/02

Draft mentioning head appropriate for Pay of "Sowdies &c" & submit for approval to the Dewan through the 1st Councillor. It is as likely as not that the sum will be found as savings under this same head.

18/11

VL 18/11/02

Rec'd 20.11.02.

Submitted draft
21.11.02
22/11/02

The Dewan through the 1st Councillor. 29/11

R' 5/12/02
Camp Mudjere
740

Subd to the Dewan 1/12/02
21/12/02

R' 22/12/02

Rd subd 31/12/02

Secretary's remarks

"Reissue of the order should have been noted before continuing the

The Comptroller, Lucknow, states that a reappropriation statement from the Dy. Commr., Jaunpur, is necessary under the recent Financial Rules. The Dy. Commissioner has already reported that there is no budget provision & that it might be met from Jail Savings - The Govt Suggested the head to which the cost should be debited -

Sr D.O. No. 28/4

The Supdt may be asked to state whether there is no saving under the head quoted in the Govt order - & if there is, to debit the charge to it, in case no budget provision is forthcoming - as the adoption of form No 10 in such minor cases is unnecessary

Asked by Mr Secretary

VR
30/4/03

(Rules quoted?)
Budget

The proper head is 4 Land Rev H. Mis (9) Establishment for Channels &c. But there is no provn for this under

that head. The Dy Comm^r says it might be met from "general savings" and so no reappropriation proposal can evidently be expected from him.

The Comptroller's objection to an order passed by Govt is frivolous. The provision quoted by him (which by the way is erroneously said to be r. 23 of Ch I Pt II instead of r. 23 of Pt I Ch II) does not apply to this case.

Under rules 25, 26 & 28, Govt surely has the power to direct that the savings under the minor head quoted may be applied for this purpose — ~~as~~ and it was reasonable to assume that 42 Rs could be found out of this large head of expenditure. Unless the Comptroller can show that either the Govt has not this power under any order which he shd expressly quote ~~to~~ or that 42 Rs cannot be expected as savings, his objection is merely vexatious and shows that he wants to arrogate to

himself powers of Control over his Superiors. This can by no means be tolerated & I should humbly submit that unless the relative positions are reversed, it is not the proper attitude for him. I am obliged to write so plainly because this tendency is being shown very largely of late. A draft reply is submitted for approval.

16/5/03

The Dewan

16/5/03

Pl. In this case, the Dy Commr should have been specifically asked to report whether he could not possibly suggest a reappn under R. 22 & why the expenditure could not be postponed. He merely reported that there was no budget provn.

Hereafter, this Office should note carefully & see that the

provns about applns for
additional grants are fully
& strictly complied with before
taking further action.

Any laxity that may exist
in this respect does not however
in my humble opinion, give
the Comptroller power to question
the validity of orders actually passed.

18/5/73

Proof 20.5.03
10354

Order thereon:

The provision quoted by the Comptroller applies to cases in which ~~is~~ a ~~sof~~ reappropriation is suggested by the Head of the Department concerned, ~~or by~~ and not to cases when an order has already been passed by Govt. Unless the Comptroller can point out any express ~~or~~ rule ~~der~~ under which Govt is prohibited from passing such an order, ~~and~~ or can show that there will be no savings to meet

9

this small charge of 42 Rs under the head mentioned, ~~this reference~~ it is difficult to see why the ~~Power~~ function should be arrogated of questioning the legality of ~~the~~ an order ~~of Govt.~~ duly passed by Govt.

~~The Comptroller is requested hereafter to quote specific rules~~

1945

If the word savings is omitted there will be trouble with cash. Why not all the Engineers debit it to what item

22.V.03

Da
27/5

22/5/03

Read
28/5/03

Submitted with draft.
29/5/03

4/6/03

Sec. No. REVENUE DEPARTMENT Gl. No. 07337 JUL. 19 1903 No. 23-7 OFFICE 21/3

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 19th July 1902
Despatched 21st
Received
General No. 50
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Requesting Sanction to the entertainment of a Sowdi on Rs 6 per 1m to the Sankampur Anicut, Kunigal Taluk, for reason stated.

Draft for approval of the Hon Councillor

31/7.

Rec 1/8/02 308

31/7/02

Rev 23/7

Submitted with a draft for approval

28/7.

No. 50/2

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District.

Tumkur 19th July 1902.
21st.

From

K. S. Doraswamy Iyer Esqr
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To.

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, herewith, Copy of Chief Engineer's letter no 3862 of the 8th Instant, regarding the necessity for appointing a Sowdi on Rs 6/- for the Sankanpur Anicut in the Kunigal Taluk and to request Sanction of Government to the entertainment of a Sowdi on Rs 6/- for the reasons advanced by the Executive Engineer, Tumkur Division in his letter no 329 dated 24th June last addressed to the Superintending Engineer, Eastern Circle.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Offg Deputy Commissioner.

bf.

No 3862

Mysore P. W. Department
Central Office
Bangalore 8th July 1902

From
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Sir, In forwarding copy of Tumkur Division Executive Engineer's no 329 of the 24th Ultimo and of Superintending Engineer's endorsement no 3145 of the 4th Instant thereon, regarding the necessity of appointing a Sowdi on Rs 6 p.m. for the Sankanpura Anicut, I have the honor to request you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government in Executive Department to the entertainment of a separate Sowdi for the work, the same being clearly necessary for the reasons advanced by the Executive Engineer. The pay of the Sowdi is debitable to the Civil Budget.

2. As soon as Government sanction is communicated to this Department, the Executive Engineer will be authorised to construct the hut proposed by him for the Sowdi to live in

I have &c
(Sgnd) D. McNeill Campbell Col.
Chief Engineer & Secy.

'Copy'
Illegible
Offg Deputy Commissioner

No. 329

Executive Engineer's Office
Trunkan Division
Camp Yedyan 24/26 6-1902.

From,
The Executive Engineer
Trunkan Division

To. The Superintending Engineer
Eastern Circle.

Sir, I have the honor to report that I inspected the Sankanpen Anicut today and the works are approaching completion. The dam wall is fully coped with slabs and the wings and the returns are being coped now. The wing and flank bunds are also brought up to full height with revetment and what little turfing that remains to be done is being attended to. The Channel works are fully completed and no more remains to be done. The screw-gearing fitted to the Head and scouring sluices is working easy. Within a months time, it is expected that the Contractor and his agents will leave the works finally. Water is let into the Channel on the 30th of may and the two tanks of Halalgunda and Kodajehalli have been filled and a supply is now running into the Amrethin tanks. The screw-gearing is now manipulated by the Contractor's Coolies. It appears to me to be absolutely necessary to engage a permanent peon or Sowdi to work the sluices as the anicut is intended to feed seven tanks and as such the management of the

Head

Head sluice cannot be entrusted to a patel of any particular tank in preference to another. The situation of the Anicut also being far away from the villages of the tanks supplied, it appears to be better to locate an individual at the spot. A small permanent hut may be put up at the left bank nearer the Head sluice where the Sowdi may be made to live. When the river discharges, it may be necessary to give the Sowdi the help of a Mote or Thalai so that, between themselves, one of them may be always present to close the Head Sluice whenever required. The pay of the Sowdi may be fixed at Rs 6 Sir as in the case of Cauvery and Hemavathi Channels.

Thanks.

Sdy. D. Sitaramaiah
Executive Engineer Tumkur Dn.

N° 3145 of 4.7.02.

Has the honor to forward copy of the Chief Engineer of Mysore for information.

2. The undersigned agrees with the Executive Engineer in thinking that the services of a Sowdi are necessary for this work and would request that if the Chief Engineer approves the proposal, Government may be addressed in the matter.

Sdy. J. J. Whiteley.
Supt. Engineer. E. Circle

Copy

Asst Deputy Commissioner

1902

From SC No 2

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The Dy Commr,
Tumkur Dt.

Dated 30th August 02

Despatched 30

Received

General No. R 941

No.

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 50/2
19th July 1902 requesting
sanction to the entertain-
ment of a Sowdi amn
Rs 6/- for the Sankampas
Anikat in the Kunigal
Taluk.

Has the honor to
request that the Dy
Commr will be so
good as to point out

7

head of the Budget,
the ~~xxxxxxxx~~ grant which
can
the pay of the Soldiers as
~~to~~ be met.

By Order

11/29/8/02

for Secretary

SC No 3

1902/03

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev: Departments
Bangalore.

Dated 29th October 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 278
No.

Enclosure No.

Secy's docket no R 971 & 30th August 1902

Requesting that as no provision is made in the Budget of the C-year for the Sowdi at Gauhati per Contract it might be met from "General Savings"

R Rice
1.11.02

Read
6.11.02.

Subd with draft
Ath
6.11.02

G

No 278

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

Tumkur 29th October 1902

From.

M. S. Narayana Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir.

In reply to your docket no. R971 of the 30th August 1902 requesting to point out the head of the Budget from which the Rs 2 the Sowdi for the Sankanpur Amicut in the Kunigal Taluk can be met, I have the honor to state that no provision has been made in the Budget of the current year regarding this Sowdi. I therefore request that the cost might be met from 'General Savings'

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. S. Narayana Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Lif

draft as per Dy's ...

Read SC 4.2.4

H 1221-3

Correspondence ending with letter no: 2789/29.10.02 from the Dy Comr, Tumkur District, soliciting sanction, as recommended by the Chief Engineer in Mysore, to the entertainment of a Sowdi on Rs 6 per mensem, to the Sankunpur Anicut, Kunigal Taluk.

No. H. 1221-3

Order thereon.

Sanctioned. The cost will be ~~met from~~ the savings under "4. Land Revenue, (11) Miscellaneous" in the current year's budget.

3.11.03

Issue

26/11/02 Secretary

5/12

W S/12/03

To the Dy Comr, Tumkur.
To the Chief Engineer in Mysore.
To the Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

√R Nos

DEPARTMENT



From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen √ Rev. Dept.

Dated 21.3.03.

Despatched 31

Received

No. 5438

No. 2035

SUBJECT MATTER.

---

with ref to his: R-18-21-3 (1221)
9.2.03 request to obtain
from D.C. Tumkur & forward
to this Office a reappn. Sth
sire - Rs 61/- pm to the
post Sanc sanct for San-
Kanpur ancut, Kunigal Tq

Ref
R
M
Rec'd

Rec'd 24.3.03

12

No 5438/2035

TREASURY ~~AUDIT~~ DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 21st March 1903. 189

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundaiyya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

General & Revenue *Depts*.

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings of Government No.Fl.122-3 Dated 9th February 1903, sanctioning a sowdi on Rs.6/ per mensem to the Sankanpur anicut, Kunigal Taluk, and directing that the cost be debited to Savings under 4 Land Revenue (11)Miscellaneous Part II

I have the honor to point out that as per Rule23 of Chapter I of Financial Proceedings No.1150-1192/18-1901-1902 dated 7th Febru 1903, a reappropriation statement in Form 10 is necessary and to request that you will be so good as to obtain from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, a reappropriation statement as required by the said Ruleand forward it to this Office for verification and report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

SC No 6

Read

Govt Progs no: 1221-3

& 9 dated 11th February 1903 sanctioning the entertainment of a Sowdi on Rs 6/- per mensem to the Sankanpur Amildr in the Kunigal Taluk, and directing that the cost thereof be met from the Savings under 4 Land Revenue, 11 Miscellaneous in the current year's budget.

Letter no: 5438/2035 & 21st March 1903 from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt, pointing out that, as per rule 23 of chapter II, part I of the Financial Progs no: 1150-1192/18-01-02 & 7.2.03, a Reappropriation statement in form no: 10 is necessary, and requesting that it may be obtained from the Dy Comr and

forwarded

14

forwarded to him for verification and report.

D V

Order thereon. Fd. 2170-71 dt 11/6/03

Govt observe that no reappropriation is called for.

~~he ~~ad~~ adoption of form no. 10 in such a minor case as the one under reference is unnecessary, and direct~~ the cost thereof be debited to 4 Land Revenue, 11 miscellaneous in the budget for the current year.

8/6/03
4/6/03 Secretary

29/5/03

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

The Dy Comr, Tumkur District